

**2013 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Bill**

Received:	4/9/2013	Received By:	<b>mglass</b>
Wanted:	As time permits	Same as LRB:	
For:	Scott Krug (608) 266-0215	By/Representing:	<b>Randy Thorson</b>
May Contact:		Drafter:	<b>mglass</b>
Subject:	Nat. Res. - fish and game	Addl. Drafters:	
		Extra Copies:	

Submit via email: **YES**  
 Requester's email: **Rep.Krug@legis.wisconsin.gov**  
 Carbon copy (CC) to:

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

**Topic:**

Exemption for deer farm

**Instructions:**

See attached

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	mglass 4/9/2013	scalvin 4/17/2013	rschluet 4/17/2013	_____			
/1				_____	mbarman 4/17/2013	mbarman 11/4/2013	

FE Sent For:

*None  
Needed*

<END>

## **2013 DRAFTING REQUEST**

### **Bill**

Received: **4/9/2013** Received By: **mglass**  
Wanted: **As time permits** Same as LRB:  
For: **Scott Krug (608) 266-0215** By/Representing: **Randy Thorson**  
May Contact: Drafter: **mglass**  
Subject: **Nat. Res. - fish and game** Addl. Drafters:  
Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**  
Requester's email: **Rep.Krug@legis.wisconsin.gov**  
Carbon copy (CC) to:

---

### **Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

---

### **Topic:**

Exemption for deer farm

---

### **Instructions:**

See attached

---

### **Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	mglass 4/9/2013	scalvin 4/17/2013	rschluet 4/17/2013	_____			
/1				_____	mbarman 4/17/2013		

FE Sent For:

**<END>**

## 2013 DRAFTING REQUEST

### Bill

Received: 4/9/2013 Received By: mglass  
Wanted: As time permits Same as LRB:  
For: Scott Krug (608) 266-0215 By/Representing: Randy Thorson  
May Contact: Drafter: mglass  
Subject: Nat. Res. - fish and game Addl. Drafters:  
Extra Copies:

Submit via email: YES  
Requester's email: Rep.Krug@legis.wisconsin.gov  
Carbon copy (CC) to:

---

### Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

---

### Topic:

Exemption for deer farm


---

### Instructions:

See attached

---

### Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	mglass	/1 sac 04/17/2013		_____	_____		

FE Sent For:

<END>



W  
4-9

State of Wisconsin  
2009 - 2010 LEGISLATURE  
2013-2014

RMntr  
213311  
LRB-31751  
MGG:kjf:ph  
SAC

2013  
2009 SENATE BILL 356

LPS! check for hidden \*\*\*\* NOTES and delete them.

October 20, 2009 – Introduced by Senators LASSA, A. LASEE, TAYLOR and SCHULTZ, cosponsored by Representatives SCHNEIDER, VRUWINK, GUNDERSON, BALLWEG and BROOKS. Referred to Committee on Agriculture and Higher Education.

- 1 AN ACT <sup>gen act</sup> to amend 95.55 (5) (bg) 3.; and to create 95.55 (5) (bj) of the statutes;  
2 relating to: acreage requirements for areas in which farm-raised deer that  
3 may be hunted are kept.

**Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau**

The Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP) administers the laws that regulate farm-raised deer of any species and that require the registration of owners of farmed-raised deer. Under current law, an owner of a deer farm may charge a fee for hunting deer on the farm only if the deer to be hunted are confined in a contiguous area of 80 acres or more. Current law provides an exemption to this requirement if the deer farm had a deer farm license issued by the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) under previous law that was in effect on December 30, 2002, if the contiguous area is not smaller than the area authorized under the DNR license, and if other requirements are met. This bill expands this exemption to include areas that are less than 80 acres but that are part of a deer farm that is larger than 80 acres if the area that is less than 80 acres is not smaller than it was on December 31, 2002.

**The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:**

- 4 SECTION 1. 95.55 (5) (bg) 3. of the statutes is amended to read:

**SENATE BILL 356**

95.55 (5) (bg) 3. The deer are confined in an area that is not ~~less~~ smaller than the acreage subject to the deer farm license on December 31, 2002 or are confined in a smaller fenced area that is located within the acreage subject to the license and that is not smaller than it was on December 31, 2002.

**SECTION 2.** 95.55 (5) (bj) of the statutes is created to read:

95.55 (5) (bj) In reviewing evidence under par. (bg) 5., the department shall consider oral statements or sworn affidavits in determining whether the deer farm meets the requirement under par. (bg) 3.

**(END)**

**Rose, Stefanie**

---

**From:** Thorson, Randy  
**Sent:** Friday, November 01, 2013 3:34 PM  
**To:** LRB.Legal  
**Subject:** Draft Review: LRB -2133/1 Topic: Exemption for deer farm

Please Jacket LRB -2133/1 for the ASSEMBLY.